

SCOPUS Q4 – The Environmental and Social Management Journal (Revista de Gestão Social e Ambiental)

<https://rgsa.emnuvens.com.br/rgsa>

REVISTA DE GESTÃO SOCIAL E AMBIENTAL

ABOUT ▾ CURRENT BACK ISSUES PUBLICATION FEE INDEXING & ABSTRACTING ALTMETRICS
CITATIONS (GOOGLE ACADEMICS) H5 INDEX (GOOGLE METRICS) QUALIS CAPES RANKING SYSTEM
EDITORIAL POLICIES ▾ TEMPLATE



About the Journal

The **Environmental and Social Management Journal (Revista de Gestão Social e Ambiental e-ISSN: 1981-982X, DOI: 10.24857)**, is a scientific publication aiming to provoke discussion and dissemination of the social and environmental theme resulting from academic research. Its editorial line is grounded on issues relating to areas of social and environmental management and company policies.

The RGSA accepts articles in Portuguese, English and Spanish. The Scientific Committee is committed to the purpose of gradually developing a journal having international reach, with adequate indexing in bibliometric databases.

Qualis 2017-2020: A3 in these areas:

PUBLIC AND BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION, ACCOUNTING AND TOURISM A3
BIODIVERSITY A3
POLITICAL SCIENCE AND INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS A3
ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES A3
COMMUNICATION AND INFORMATION A3
LAW A3
ENGINEERING I A3
ENGINEERING II A3
ENGINEERING III A3
INTERDISCIPLINARY A3
URBAN AND REGIONAL PLANNING / DEMOGRAPHY A3
PSYCHOLOGY A3
SOCIOLOGY A3

Editorial Team

Editor-in-Chief



[Lattes](#) - [Orcid](#)

Christian Luiz da Silva, Universidade Tecnológica Federal do Paraná - UTFPR, Curitiba, Brazil

Scientific Committee

Anete Alberton, Universidade do Vale do Itajaí - UNIVALI, Santa Catarina, Brazil

Carlos Alberto Cioce Sampaio, Fundação Universidade Regional de Blumenau - FURB, Santa Catarina, Brazil

Celso Funcia Lemme, Instituto COPPEAD de Administração, da Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro - COPPEAD/UFRJ, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Charbel José Chiappetta Jabbour, Faculdade de Economia Administração e Contabilidade Ribeirão Preto - FEA, São Paulo, Brazil

Francisca Farache, Brighton Business School, United Kingdom

Francisco Correia de Oliveira, Universidade de Fortaleza - UNIFO, Fortaleza, Brazil

Hans Michael van Bellen, Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina - UFSC, Florianópolis, Brazil

Isabel Soares, University of Porto, Porto, Portugal

Isak Kruglianskas, Universidade de São Paulo - FEA/USP, São Paulo, Brazil

José Carlos Barbieri, Fundação Getúlio Vargas - FGV/EAESP, São Paulo, Brazil

José Célio Silveira Andrade, Universidade Federal da Bahia - UFBA, Salvador, Brazil

Luiz Alberto Nascimento Campos Filho, Faculdades Ibmecc Rio, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Luis Felipe Nascimento, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande - UFRGS, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil

Marcos Cohen, Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro - PUC Rio, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Maria Cecilia Coutinho de Arruda, Fundação Getulio Vargas - EAESP/FGV, São Paulo, Brazil

Maria Gracinda Carvalho Teixeira, Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro - UFRRJ, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Miguel Angel Gardetti, Center for Study of Corporate Sustainability - CSC, Buenos Aires, Argentina

Mônica Cavalcanti Sá de Abreu, Universidade Federal do Ceará - UFC, Ceará, Brazil

Mozar José de Brito, Universidade Federal de Lavras - UFLA, Minas Gerais, Brazil

Raquel da Silva Pereira, Universidade Municipal de São Caetano do Sul - USCS, Brazil

Roberto Patrus Mundim Pena, Pontifícia Universidade Católica de Minas Gerais - PUC, Minas Gerais, Brazil

Sema Yılmaz Genç, Yıldız Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey

Editorial Board

Eliana Andréa Severo, Universidade Federal de Pernambuco - UFPE, Recife, Brazil

Isak Kruglianskas, Universidade de São Paulo - FEA/USP, São Paulo, Brazil

Jacques Demajorovic, Centro Universitário FEI, São Paulo, Brazil

José Antonio Puppim de Oliveira, Fundação Getulio Vargas (FGV EAESP e FGV EBAPE), Brazil

José Carlos Barbieri, Fundação Getulio Vargas - EAESP/FGV, São Paulo, Brazil

José Célio Silveira Andrade, Universidade Federal da Bahia - UFBA, Salvador, Brazil

Lilian Soares Outtes Wanderley, Universidade Federal de Pernambuco - UFPE, Recife, Brazil

Luis Felipe Nascimento, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande - UFRGS, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil.

Maria Tereza Saraiva de Souza, Centro Universitário FEI, São Paulo, Brazil.

Environmental and Social Management Journal

(Revista de Gestão Social e Ambiental e-ISSN: 1981-982X)



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)



REVISTA DE GESTÃO SOCIAL E AMBIENTAL

Register Login

ABOUT - CURRENT BACK ISSUES PUBLICATION FEE INDEXING & ABSTRACTING ALTMETRICS

Q SEARCH

CITATIONS (GOOGLE ACADEMICS) H5 INDEX (GOOGLE METRICS) QUALIS CAPES RANKING SYSTEM

EDITORIAL POLICIES - TEMPLATE

HOME / ARCHIVES / Vol. 18 No. 6 (2024)

Vol. 18 No. 6 (2024)



PUBLISHED: 2024-03-25

MAKE A SUBMISSION

SCIMAGO JOURNAL RANKING



LANGUAGE

- English
- Português (Brasil)
- Español (España)

ARTIGOS

Supply Chain Performance Measurement: The Green Supply Chain Operation Reference (SCOR) Approach

Agus Purnomo, Syafrianita

e05718

PDF

Clean City, Green Future: The Path Towards Sustainability through Education

Ada Patricia Barturén Quispe, Carmen Carreño Farfán, Hilda Angélica Del Carpio Ramos, Marilín Sánchez Purihuamán, Sebastián Huangal Scheineder, Blanca Romero Guzman, Sergio Rafael Del Carpio Hernández, Pedro Antonio Del Carpio Ramos, Segundo Alberto Vásquez Llanos

e05719

PDF

Examining the Impact of Service Quality on Repurchase Intent: A Study of Foreign International Airlines

Balakrishnan Ravishankar, Prabu B. Christopher

e05720

PDF

Determinants of Purchase Intention in Social Media Advertising

I Gusti Ayu Tirtayani, I Made Wardana, Putu Yudi Setiawan, I Gusti Ngurah Jaya Agung Widagda K

e04793

PDF

Development of the Business Plan for the Mini Oil Refinery: Theoretical, Methodological and Practical Aspects

Violetta Roshchupkina, Natalia Dimurina, Viktoriya Manuylenko, Natalia Gryzunova, Elena Drannikova, Mukhamed Khamukov

e05721

PDF

Supply Chain Performance Measurement: The Green Supply Chain Operation Reference (SCOR) Approach

Agus Purnomo

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3260-0288>

Syafrianita

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3603-5822>

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.24857/rgsa.v18n6-013>

Keywords: Green SCOR, AHP, Performance Measurement, Metrics, Textile

ABSTRACT

Purpose: This study aims to design metrics and implement supply chain performance measurements of upstream textile companies in Indonesia using the Green Supply Chain Operation Reference (SCOR) perspective approach.

Methods: The design and measurement approach uses Green SCOR based on metrics developed by APIC. The weighting of level one and level two assessment metrics using the Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) method is based on the results of questionnaires from 20 upstream textile companies spread across the island of Java.

Results and discussion: The main findings show that supply chain performance in the upstream sector of textile companies in Indonesia can be classified as good if measured using a supply chain performance metric design with the Green Supply Chain Operations Referendum approach. Opportunities to improve performance in the plan, source, make, delivery, and return aspects using Green SCOR's best practices in the textile industry.



PDF

PUBLISHED

2024-03-26

HOW TO CITE

Purnomo, A., & Syafrianita. (2024). Supply Chain Performance Measurement: The Green Supply Chain Operation Reference (SCOR) Approach. *Revista De Gestão Social E Ambiental*, 18(6), e05718. <https://doi.org/10.24857/rgsa.v18n6-013>

More Citation Formats ▾

MAKE A SUBMISSION

SCIMAGO JOURNAL RANKING



LANGUAGE

English
Português (Brasil)
Español (España)

CURRENT ISSUE



KEYWORDS



Pada SCOPUS Source, Percentile = 46% sehingga masuk Q3

Dapat dilihat pada: <https://www.scopus.com/sourceid/21100314709>

The screenshot shows the Scopus Sources search interface. The search title is "Decision Science Letters". A notification box at the top states: "Improved CiteScore: We have updated the CiteScore methodology to ensure a more robust, stable and comprehensive metric which provides an indication of research impact, earlier. The updated methodology will be applied to the calculation of CiteScore, as well as retroactively for all previous CiteScore years (ie. 2018, 2017, 2016...). The previous CiteScore values have been removed and are no longer available. View CiteScore methodology." Below this, a "Filter refine list" is visible with options like "Apply" and "Clear filters". The search results show one result for "Decision Science Letters Open Access" with a CiteScore of 3.6. A table of metrics for 2022 is shown: Citations 2019-22: 597, Documents 2019-22: 167, % Cited: 73. A callout box highlights the "Highest percentile" as 46% (22/40 General Decision Sciences). A legend defines SCOPUS QUARTILES: Q1 = percentile 75%-99%, Q2 = percentile 50%-74%, Q3 = percentile 25%-49%, and Q4 = percentile 0%-24%. An arrow points from the Q3 definition to the 46% percentile value in the table.

Source details

The screenshot shows the source details for "Decision Science Letters". It includes the following information: "Open Access", "Scopus coverage years: from 2012 to Present", "Publisher: Growing Science", "ISSN: 1929-5804 E-ISSN: 1929-5812", "Subject area: Decision Sciences: General Decision Sciences", and "Source type: Journal". A callout box on the right lists metrics for 2022: CiteScore 2022: 3.6, SJR 2022: 0.392, and SNIP 2022: 0.823. A red arrow points to the "Scopus coverage years" with the text "Jurnal tidak discontinue".

The notification box states: "Improved CiteScore methodology: CiteScore 2022 counts the citations received in 2019-2022 to articles, reviews, conference papers, book chapters and data papers published in 2019-2022, and divides this by the number of publications published in 2019-2022. Learn more >

The screenshot compares CiteScore 2022 and CiteScoreTracker 2023. CiteScore 2022 is 3.6, calculated as 597 Citations (2019-2022) divided by 167 Documents (2019-2022), calculated on 05 May, 2023. CiteScoreTracker 2023 is 3.4, calculated as 654 Citations to date divided by 193 Documents to date, last updated on 05 March, 2024, and updated monthly. A red arrow points to the "Last updated" text with the text "Last update".

The screenshot shows the "CiteScore rank 2022" chart. The category is "Decision Sciences" and the sub-category is "General Decision Sciences". The rank is #22/40, and the percentile is 46th. A red arrow points to the "46th" percentile with the text "Persentil 46%, masuk Q3".



SUPPLY CHAIN PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT: THE GREEN SUPPLY CHAIN OPERATION REFERENCE (SCOR) APPROACH

Agus Purnomo¹
Syafrianita²

ABSTRACT

Purpose: This study aims to design metrics and implement supply chain performance measurements of upstream textile companies in Indonesia using the Green Supply Chain Operation Reference (SCOR) perspective approach. **Methods:** The design and measurement approach uses Green SCOR based on metrics developed by APIC. The weighting of level one and level two assessment metrics using the Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) method is based on the results of questionnaires from 20 upstream textile companies spread across the island of Java.

Results and discussion: The main findings show that supply chain performance in the upstream sector of textile companies in Indonesia can be classified as good if measured using a supply chain performance metric design with the Green Supply Chain Operations Referendum approach. Opportunities to improve performance in the plan, source, make, delivery, and return aspects using Green SCOR's best practices in the textile industry.

Implications of the research: The study's limitations did not include the financial aspects of the company, so it cannot provide a complete picture of the company's supply chain performance.

Originality/value: The knowledge gained from this study is an important reference for managers of upstream textile companies to improve the company's green supply chain performance in the future. Managers can set improvement priorities based on the results of performance measurements on the dimensions of reliability, responsiveness, flexibility, and environmental aspects based on the metrics designed by the researcher. In addition, the design of this metric can be used by companies in the medium and downstream textile industry to improve the overall green supply chain performance of the textile industry.

Keywords: Green SCOR, AHP, Performance Measurement, Metrics, Textile.

MEDIÇÃO DO DESEMPENHO DA CADEIA DE SUPRIMENTO: A ABORDAGEM VERDE DE REFERÊNCIA DE OPERAÇÃO DA CADEIA DE SUPRIMENTO (SCOR)

RESUMO

Objetivo: Este estudo visa projetar métricas e implementar medidas de desempenho da cadeia de suprimentos de empresas têxteis a montante na Indonésia usando a abordagem de perspectiva Green Supply Chain Operation Reference (SCOR).

Métodos: A abordagem de design e medição usa o Green SCOR com base em métricas desenvolvidas pela APIC. A ponderação das métricas de avaliação de nível um e nível dois usando o método Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) é baseada nos resultados de questionários de 20 empresas têxteis de upstream espalhadas pela ilha de Java.

Resultados e discussão: as principais descobertas mostram que o desempenho da cadeia de suprimentos no setor a montante das empresas têxteis na Indonésia pode ser classificado como bom se medido usando um design métrico de desempenho da cadeia de suprimentos com a abordagem do Green Supply Chain Operations Referendum. Oportunidades para melhorar o desempenho nos aspectos de planejamento, origem, fabricação, entrega e devolução, utilizando as melhores práticas do Green SCOR na indústria têxtil.

¹ Universitas Logistik Dan Bisnis Internasional, Bandung, Java, Indonesia.

E-mail: agusurnomo@ulbi.ac.id Orcid: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3260-0268>

² Universitas Logistik Dan Bisnis Internasional, Bandung, Java, Indonesia.

E-mail: syafrianita@ulbi.ac.id Orcid: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3603-5822>



Implicações da pesquisa: As limitações do estudo não incluíam os aspectos financeiros da empresa, por isso ela não pode fornecer um quadro completo do desempenho da cadeia de fornecimento da empresa.

Originalidade/valor: O conhecimento adquirido com este estudo é uma referência importante para os gestores de empresas têxteis a montante para melhorar o desempenho da cadeia de abastecimento verde da empresa no futuro. Os gerentes podem definir prioridades de aprimoramento com base nos resultados das medidas de desempenho nas dimensões de confiabilidade, capacidade de resposta, flexibilidade e aspectos ambientais com base nas métricas projetadas pelo pesquisador. Além disso, o design desta métrica pode ser usado por empresas na indústria têxtil média e a jusante para melhorar o desempenho geral da cadeia de fornecimento verde da indústria têxtil.

Palavras-chave: Green SCOR, AHP, Medição de Desempenho, Métricas, Têxtil.

MEDICIÓN DEL RENDIMIENTO DE LA CADENA DE SUMINISTRO: EL ENFOQUE DE REFERENCIA DE LA OPERACIÓN DE LA CADENA DE SUMINISTRO (SCOR) ECOLÓGICA

RESUMEN

Propósito: Este estudio tiene como objetivo diseñar métricas e implementar mediciones del rendimiento de la cadena de suministro de las empresas textiles en Indonesia utilizando el enfoque de la perspectiva de Referencia de Operación de la Cadena de Suministro Verde (SCOR).

Métodos: El enfoque de diseño y medición utiliza Green SCOR basado en métricas desarrolladas por APIC. La ponderación de las métricas de evaluación de nivel uno y nivel dos utilizando el método Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) se basa en los resultados de los cuestionarios de 20 empresas textiles de la cadena superior repartidas por toda la isla de Java.

Resultados y discusión: Los principales hallazgos muestran que el desempeño de la cadena de suministro en el sector ascendente de las empresas textiles en Indonesia puede clasificarse como bueno si se mide utilizando un diseño de métrica de desempeño de la cadena de suministro con el enfoque del Referéndum de Operaciones de Cadena de Suministro Verde. Oportunidades para mejorar el rendimiento en los aspectos de planificación, origen, fabricación, entrega y devolución utilizando las mejores prácticas de Green SCOR en la industria textil.

Implicaciones de la investigación: Las limitaciones del estudio no incluyeron los aspectos financieros de la empresa, por lo que no puede proporcionar una imagen completa del rendimiento de la cadena de suministro de la empresa.

Originalidad/valor: El conocimiento obtenido de este estudio es una referencia importante para los gerentes de las empresas textiles ascendentes para mejorar el rendimiento de la cadena de suministro verde de la empresa en el futuro. Los gerentes pueden establecer prioridades de mejora basadas en los resultados de las mediciones de rendimiento en las dimensiones de confiabilidad, capacidad de respuesta, flexibilidad y aspectos ambientales en función de las métricas diseñadas por el investigador. Además, el diseño de esta métrica puede ser utilizado por empresas de la industria textil media y posterior para mejorar el rendimiento general de la cadena de suministro verde de la industria textil.

Palabras clave: Verde SCOR, AHP, Medición de Rendimiento, Métricas, Textil.

RGSA adota a Licença de Atribuição CC BY do Creative Commons (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).



1 INTRODUCTION

Supply chain performance measurement uses appropriate metrics to assess the health of an organization's supply chain. Performance management uses metrics set to support the



company's strategic objectives (S. A. Khan et al., 2020; Elgazzar et al., 2019). On the other hand, measuring the performance of the eco-supply chain must be flexible and allow for changing industry or product priorities (Bulsara et al., 2016; Tundys & Wiśniewski, 2018). Each stage in the operation of supply chain partners results in pollution and environmental damage, which is why many academics have recently been researching green supply chains (M. Khan et al., 2023; Herrmann et al., 2021)

Environmental issues and supply chain management have been identified as the most growing issues, and organizations are focusing on minimizing their impact on the environment worldwide (Petljak et al., 2018). With the growing concern over environmental issues, especially in industrialized countries, there is a need to address sustainable green supply chain performance measurement (Assumpção et al., 2023; Panpatil, Lahane, et al., 2023). Developing nations, such as China and the nations of Southeast Asia (Malaysia, Indonesia, Thailand), have begun to concentrate on green supply chain management. Green supply chain management, or GSCM, is the subject of new research, with certified Malaysian businesses implementing the findings (Eltayeb et al., 2011), and other studies on the internal and external factors influencing performance from an eco-supply chain management perspective (Rosyidah et al., 2022).

The upstream textile industry is one of the largest contributors to the disposal of environmentally harmful production waste (Payet, 2021), the waste that pollutes the environment is manganese, chromium, zinc, and dyes, which decomposing microorganisms cannot break down (Castillo-Suárez et al., 2023). In Indonesia, upstream textile companies also pollute the environment. However, the industry has been prioritized for development because of its significant impact on economic growth, employing 3.6 million people and contributing 6.38 percent to gross domestic product (GDP) by 2022 (Sugeng et al., 2022).

Improving upstream textile companies' green supply chain performance in Indonesia is necessary to increase competitiveness, especially against foreign competitors (Bui et al., 2023). In the management cycle, performance measurement is necessary to improve business performance (Behn, 2003). Furthermore, Suradi et al. (2020) explained that green supply chain performance measurement in the textile industry aims to improve the performance of the textile industry so that customers have environmentally friendly product choices and comply with legal regulations, which in turn can minimize environmental damage.

However, academics still need to be more successful in researching and measuring the green supply chain performance of upstream textile companies in Indonesia. Based on the previous description, the problem of this research is how to measure the supply chain performance of upstream textile companies in Indonesia in terms of a green supply chain. Thus,



to answer the previously described problems, this study aims to design metrics and implement supply chain performance measurement of upstream textile companies in Indonesia with a Green Supply Chain Operation Reference (SCOR) perspective approach.

2 THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

2.1 SUPPLY CHAIN OPERATIONS REFERENCE (SCOR) AND GREEN SUPPLY CHAIN OPERATIONS REFERENCE (GREEN SCOR)

SCOR is a process-based reference model for supply chain operations that can be used to map the supply chain (Zhou, 2022). The SCOR model integrates three key management elements into a cross-functional supply chain framework: business process reengineering, benchmarking, and process measurement (Chopra et al., 2022). Furthermore, according to Saen & Izadikhah (2022) and Chopra et al. (2022), at SCOR, processes consist of three levels. The top level includes five main processes - Plan, Source, Make, Deliver, and Return. This level describes performance from two points of view, one from the customer's perspective and the other from an internal perspective. At this level, there is a definition of primary competition and instructions on how to achieve it. The explanations of the five processes at level 1 are:

- 1) Planning: arranging supply and demand to fulfill production, delivery, and procurement requirements.;
- 2) Sourcing: acquiring products or services to meet market demand. This process includes choosing suppliers and assessing their performance, scheduling supplier deliveries, receiving items, and verifying and authorizing payment for goods provided by suppliers;
- 3) Make: the process of converting raw materials/components into products that meet customer needs;
- 4) Deliver: the processes involved in meeting consumer demand for products and services; these often involve distribution, transportation, and border control;
- 5) Return: the process of returning or receiving products for various reasons.

Furthermore, to measure supply chain performance, there are five categories: reliability, responsiveness, agility, cost, and asset management. The next step is selecting the Key Performance Indicator (KPI) criteria matrix. It is difficult for a company to perform well for all metrics, so only a few areas should be selected as top priorities (Huang & Keskar, 2007). The Green SCOR concept was created by modifying the SCOR model to incorporate environmental thinking into the SCOR principles. The aim is to improve green supply chain performance using



analytical metrics that reflect the relationship between supply chain activities and green concepts (Rosyidah et al., 2022). Furthermore, Rosyidah et al. (2022) and Pulansari & Putri (2020) explained that Green SCOR is developed in four basic steps, namely:

- 1) Identify background practices and metrics for a green supply chain;
- 2) Evaluate available SCOR process models with environmental impact;
- 3) Customise the SCOR model by benchmarking best practices and using environmental metrics;
- 4) Describe the changes made and their impact on supply chain operations.

2.2 GREEN SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT (GSCM) PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT.

A fundamental aspect of supply chain management is performance management and continuous improvement. Effective performance management requires a measurement system that can assess the performance of the supply chain as a whole. GSCM is the integration of environmentally friendly concepts into supply chain practices from the product idea, design, sourcing, production process, and distribution to the end of the product life cycle so that it can be recycled, reused, repaired, remanufactured or destroyed so that supply chains and living things can be sustainable (Cazeri et al., 2017). The green supply chain starts from the awareness of the impact of supply chain activities on the surrounding environment. In practice, a green supply chain encompasses the role of the environment in all value-added activities in the supply chain (Kumar et al., 2012).

According to APICS (2017), the Green SCOR metrics used to measure GSCM performance based on the objectives expected by each stakeholder include:

- 1) % of suppliers with ISO 14001 certification;
- 2) % of suppliers meeting environmental requirements;
- 3) % of hazardous materials in inventory;
- 4) % of vehicle fuel from alternative fuels;
- 5) Energy consumption.

The purpose of GSCM performance measurement is for external reporting, internal control, and internal analysis that affect sustainable performance in relation to supplier selection and development, mode and operator selection, vehicle routing, location decisions, packaging options, and others. Tools for measuring GSCM performance from various literatures and



practices include analytical hierarchy process, activity-based costing, environmental analysis design, balanced scorecards, and life cycle analysis type tools (Panpatil, Lahane, et al., 2023).

2.3 ANALYTIC HIERARCHY PROCESS (AHP)

AHP considers the opinions of experts with questionnaires to support decision-making by comparing criteria, sub-criteria, and alternatives. The pairwise comparison matrix assessed by experts shows the importance level between criteria, sub-criteria, and alternatives so that weights are obtained, forming the basis for decision-making (Li et al., 2023). The steps of AHP are as follows (Panpatil, Prajapati, et al., 2023) :

- 1) Establish a hierarchy of the problem at hand. The problem to be solved is broken down into its elements, namely criteria and alternatives, which are then arranged in a hierarchical structure;
- 2) Evaluate the criteria and alternatives. Criteria and alternatives are evaluated using pairwise comparisons for different problems on a scale from 1 to 9 to express opinions;
- 3) Prioritisation. The relative comparative value is then processed to determine the ranking of alternatives;
- 4) Logical consistency. Knowing how good consistency is important because we want to make decisions based on something other than considerations with low consistency.

3 METHOD

The design and measurement approach in this study is to use Green SCOR based on the metrics developed by APICS (2017). After the metric measurement results are obtained, the scoring system is used to equalize the value scale of each evaluation metric. Each metric's achievement level can be measured and determined using De Boer's Snorm normalization formula.

Next, the weighting of the assessment metrics for level one and level two is obtained from the results of the AHP questionnaire. However, no weighting is applied to level three in the measurement hierarchy. This is due to the large number of metrics that need to be compared in pairs, so weighting at this level could produce better results. In addition, weighting at level three has little impact on the results of measuring supply chain performance. The importance weighting at levels one and two was carried out using the Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) method. In completing the AHP questionnaire, two respondents were selected, namely the Head of PPIC and the Head of Marketing, then the weight of each respondent's assessment for each



indicator was combined into one value using the geometric mean calculation, namely a Combine in Expert Choice 11 processing. Performance of each main supply chain process (plan, source, make, deliver, and return) by multiplying the supply chain score by the weight of the performance targets.

The population of upstream textile companies in Indonesia was 87, while the sample consisted of 20 companies spread across Java. The company data obtained is confidential, and the company does not allow it to be published as it is related to business competition, except that only an average of 20 companies is presented.

4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

4.1 CALCULATION OF ACTUAL VALUE OF GREEN SUPPLY CHAIN PERFORMANCE

Based on the average value of data from 20 upstream sector textile companies, the actual value for each metric can be calculated as follows:

a) Plan

$$\text{Inventory Level for Packaging} = \frac{\text{average inventory}}{\text{average demand per month}} \times 100\% = \frac{[1,85 \text{ million pieces}]}{16,8 \text{ million pieces}} \times 100\% = 11,01\% \quad (1)$$

$$\% \text{ employee trained on environmental requirement} = \frac{\text{Employees who attend training green SCM}}{\text{Total employee}} \times 100\% = (921/921) \times 100\% = 100\% \quad (2)$$

$$\% \text{ waste disposition} = \frac{\text{Weight of waste discharged into the environment}}{\text{Total weight of waste produced}} \times 100\% = \frac{0,982 \text{ Tons}}{127,60 \text{ Tons}} \times 100\% = 0,77\% \quad (3)$$

b) Source

$$\text{Defect rate} = \frac{\text{The number of defective units}}{\text{The number of units shipped}} \times 100\% = \frac{115}{840.000 \text{ units}} \times 100\% = 0,01\% \quad (1)$$

% of Suppliers with an EMS or ISO 14001 certification =

$$\frac{\text{The number of suppliers that meet environmental aspects}}{\text{Total suppliers that are company partners}} \times 100\% = \frac{15}{17} \times 100\% = 88,23\%$$



(2)

c) Make

% Failure in Process =

$$\frac{\text{The number of defective units}}{\text{Number of units produced}} \times 100\% = \frac{1400}{70.000 \text{ units}} \times 100\% = 2\% \quad (1)$$

Machine Material Efficiency =

$$\frac{\text{Number of products processed} \times \text{standard time}}{\text{Available operating time}} \times 100\% = \frac{68.600 \text{ pieces} \times 0,4 \text{ seconds}}{28.800 \text{ seconds}} \times 100\% = 95,27\% \quad (2)$$

% of hazardous material =

$$\frac{\text{Amount of hazardous materials in the production process}}{\text{Total material used}} \times 100\% = (2/17) \times 100\% = 11,7\% \quad (3)$$

% Materials that is biodegradable =

$$\frac{\text{The amount of biodegradable material used}}{\text{Total material used}} \times 100\% = \frac{20}{24} \times 100\% = 83,33\% \quad (4)$$

Waste produced as % of product produced =

$$\frac{\text{Total waste weight generated (liquid, solid)}}{\text{Total weight of finished goods}} \times 100\% = \frac{127,60 \text{ Tons}}{200 \text{ Tons}} \times 100\% = 63,8\% \quad (5)$$

% of recycleable/ reusable materials =

$$\frac{\text{The amount of waste that can be recycled}}{\text{Total material used}} \times 100\% = (20/23) \times 100\% = 86,9\% \quad (6)$$

d) Deliver

$$\text{Fill rate} = \frac{\text{Number of products released}}{\text{Total products}} \times 100\% = \frac{11,08 \text{ jt pieces}}{16,8 \text{ jt pieces}} \times 100\% = 65,9\% \quad (1)$$

% of vehicle fuel emissions derived from alternative fuels =

$$\frac{\text{The amount of reduced gas emissions}}{\text{Total gas emissions produced before the use of alternative fuels}} \times 100\% \\ = \frac{470,32 \text{ metric tons CO}_2/\text{year}}{4.703,244 \text{ metric tons CO}_2/\text{year}} \times 100\% = 10\% \quad (2)$$



e) Return

Return rate to supplier =

$$\frac{\text{The number of units returned}}{\text{The number of units received by the customer}} \times 100\% = \frac{0}{5490 \text{ pieces}} \times 100\% = 0\% \quad (1)$$

% of complaint regarding missing environmental requirements from product =

$$\frac{\text{Number of customer complaints related to enviromental issues}}{\text{Total customers complaints}} \times 100\% = \frac{9}{15} \times 100\% = 60\% \quad (2)$$

4.2 CALCULATION OF GREEN SUPPLY CHAIN PERFORMANCE NORMALIZATION VALUE

The normalization calculation for each metric uses the S_{norm} formula as follows:

$$S_{norm} = \frac{S_i - S_{min}}{(S_{max} - S_{min})} \times 100 \quad (1)$$

An example of normalization calculation for Inventory level for packaging is as follows:

$$S_{norm} = \frac{11,01-25}{(5-25)} \times 100 = 69,95$$

a) The results of normalization calculations for other metrics are shown in the following tables.

Plan. Normalization results for each metric are shown in Table 1 as follows:



Table 1

Normalized Value of Plan Performance Metrics

Dimension	No	Metrics	Actual Value (Si)	Worst Score (Smin)	Best Score (Smax)	Score (Snorm)
Reliability	1.	Inventory level for Packaging	11.01	25	5	69.95
	2.	Internal meeting	2	1	4	33.3
Responsiveness	3.	Planning schedule	2	5	2	100
Environmental aspects	4.	% employee trained on environmental requirement	89.4	60	100	73.5
	5.	% waste disposition	0.77	4.0	0.65	96.4

b) Source. Normalization results for each metric are shown in Table 2 as follows:

Table 2

Normalized Value of Source Performance Metrics

Dimension	No	Metrics	Actual Value (Si)	Worst Score (Smin)	Best Score (Smax)	Score (Snorm)
Reliability	1.	Defect rate	0.01	5	0	99.8
	2.	Source fill rate	85	65	95	66.6
	3.	Number of meetings with Project Client	4	2	4	100
Responsiveness	4.	Purchase order cycle time	60	65	60	100
	5.	Source Responsiveness	5	10	2	62.5
Flexibility	6.	Source Flexibility	1	0	3	33.3
Environmental aspects	7.	% of Suppliers with an EMS or ISO 14001 certification	88.23	76.4	88.23	100

c) Make. Normalization results for each metric are shown in Table 3 as follows:



Table 3

Normalized Value of Make Performance Metrics

Dimension	No	Metrics	Actual Value (Si)	Worst Score (Smin)	Best Score (Smax)	Scor (Snorm)
Reliability	1.	% Failure in Process	2	10	1	88.8
	2.	Machine Material Efficiency	95.27	0	97.2	98.01
Environmental aspects	3.	% of hazardous material	11.7	17.6	11.7	100
	4.	% Materials that are Biodegradable	83.33	0	83.33	100
	5.	Energy use	4,871,888	7,862,631	4,871,888	100
	6.	Water usage	5000	7300	5000	100
	7.	Waste produced as % of product produced	63.8	100	63.8	100
	8.	% of recyclable/reusable materials	86.9	0	86.9	100

d) Deliver. Normalization results for each metric are shown in Table 4 as follows:

Table 4

Normalized Value of Deliver Performance Metrics

Dimension	No	Metrics	Actual Value (Si)	Worst Score (Smin)	Best Score (Smax)	Scor (Snorm)
Reliability	1.	Fill rate	83	79	90	36.36
	2.	Number of visit to customer	2	1	2	100
Responsiveness	3.	Delivery deadline (domestically)	14	21	5	43.75
	4.	Delivery deadline (overseas)	14	21	5	43.75
Environmental aspects	5.	% of vehicle fuel emission derived from alternative fuels	10	0	10	100



e) Return. Normalization results for each metric are shown in Table 5 as follows:

Table 5
Normalized Value of Deliver Performance Metrics

Dimension	No	Metrics	Actual Value (Si)	Worst Score (Smin)	Best Score (Smax)	Scor (Snorm)
Reliability	1.	Customer complain	1	2	0	50
	2.	Return rate to supplier	0	2	0	100
Responsiveness	3.	Project Client Repaired Time	60	90	30	50
	4.	Product replacement time	30	90	30	100
Environmental aspects	5.	% of complaint regarding missing environmental requirements from product	0	1	0	100

4.3 AHP IMPORTANCE WEIGHTING FOR GREEN SUPPLY CHAIN PERFORMANCE

The weighting of the assessment metrics at level 1 is done by comparing the 5 main supply chain processes in pairs, including plan, source, make, deliver, and return. The weighting at Level 2 includes aspects of reliability, responsiveness, flexibility, and environment based on the requirements of each main supply chain process. Level 1 and Level 2 importance was weighed using the Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) method with Expert Choice version 11 software.

The results of processing respondent perception data processed with Expert Choice provide an inconsistency ratio value of 0.03, where this value is less than 0.1 (Figure 2). This means that the results of pairwise comparisons are consistent at level one.

4.3.1 Compare the relative importance with respect to Goal; Supply chain Performance with the Green SCOR approach

Figure 2

Weighted level one pairwise comparison matrix

	Plan	Source	Make	Delivery	Return
Plan		1,41421	1,86121	1,18921	3,13017
Source			1,0	1,10668	3,30975
Make				2,21336	2,05977
Delivery					2,0
Return					

Incon: 0,03


The weighting value of each element at level 1 is shown in Figure 3.



4.3.2 Priorities with respect to: Combined Goal; Supply Chain Performance

Figure 3

The weighting values for level 1 Green SCOR matrix elements



4.4 CALCULATION OF THE FINAL VALUE OF GREEN SUPPLY CHAIN PERFORMANCE

The final supply chain performance score is calculated by multiplying each score obtained by the weight of each scope, aspect, and metric. The final supply chain performance calculation is shown in Table 6 as follows:

Table 6

Calculation of the final value of each measurement dimension

Supply chain aspects (level 1)	No	Measuring dimensions (level 2)	Final score	Weight	Total	The total of each measurement dimension
Plan	1.	Reliability	51.62	0.301	15.54	62.04
	2.	Responsiveness	100	0.318	31.8	
	3.	Environmental Aspects	84.95	0.173	14.70	
Source	4.	Reliability	88.56	0.189	16.73	75.48
	5.	Responsiveness	81.25	0.375	30.46	
	6.	Flexibility	33.3	0.231	7.69	
Make	7.	Environmental Aspects	100	0.206	20.6	94.88
	8.	Reliability	93.01	0.689	64.08	
Deliver	9.	Environmental Aspects	99.06	0.311	30.8	64.62
	10.	Reliability	68.18	0.259	17.65	
	11.	Responsiveness	43,74	0.482	21.07	
Return	12.	Environmental Aspects	100	0.259	25.9	82.4
	13.	Reliability	75	0.340	25.5	
	14.	Responsiveness	75	0.364	27.3	
	15.	Environmental Aspects	100	0.296	29.6	

In addition, the Green Supply Chain Performance score can be calculated by multiplying the total score of each dimension by the weighted value of the Green SCOR Level 1 metric element shown in Table 7.



Table 7

Calculation of Supply Chain performance value

No	Supply Chain aspect (level 1)	The total of each measurement dimension	Weight	Total
1.	Plan	62.04	0.292	18.16
2.	Source	75.48	0.220	16.60
3.	Make	94.88	0.227	21.53
4.	Deliver	64.62	0.174	11.24
5.	Return	82.4	0.086	7.08
Total Performance Score				74.61

The final calculation shows that the Green Supply Chain performance score for upstream textile companies in Indonesia using the Green SCOR approach is 74.61.

4.5 DISCUSSIONS


Essentially, level 1 metrics are aggregated assessments of level 2 metrics, and level 2 metrics are aggregated assessments of level 3 metrics. Thus, measuring supply chain performance starts with measuring processes at the lowest level, namely level 3 (APICS, 2017). The total performance score in Table 7 is 74.61, this value can be classified as “Good” according to APICS (2017) and Rosyidah et al. (2022). Thus, the average green supply chain performance of upstream textile companies in Indonesia can be categorized as good. However, there is still a great opportunity to improve upstream textile companies' Green Supply Chain performance in Indonesia.

Performance improvements can be identified by comparing the weighted value results for the Green SCOR level one metric element for Plan, Source, Make, Delivery, and Return (Panpatil, Lahane, et al., 2023). Therefore, based on the weighted value of the Green SCOR Level 1 metric element and the weight of Level 2, it can be illustrated in Figure 4. Figure 4 shows that the performance metrics at level 1, namely Plan, have the highest weight, followed by Make, Source, Delivery, and Return. Thus, the weight at level 2, which has the highest priority for improvement, is the reliability aspect of the Make activity. Improvements can be made to the failure of the production process and the inefficiency of the materials used in the production process. The priority for improvement with the lowest assessment weight is the Reliability aspect of the Source activity. Improvements can be made by reducing the percentage of damaged products during delivery, order processing, and training employees on material knowledge and raw material specifications from suppliers.



Figure 4

Weighted value for Green SCOR level one metric element



The follow-up improvements to improve the existing green supply chain performance can use the best practice approach in SCOR version 12.0 (Panpatil, Lahane, et al., 2023; APICS, 2017). More specifically, the best practice approach to improve the green supply chain performance of upstream textile companies in Indonesia is described in Table 8.



Table 8

Green SCOR Best Practices to Improve Performance green supply chain for upstream sector textile companies in Indonesia

Green SCOR process	Best Practice
Plan	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Minimizing the use of energy and hazardous materials2. Maintain an environmentally friendly product policy3. Proper handling and storage of hazardous materials to avoid impact on the environment.
Source	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Obtain access to environmental management reports and compliance data from suppliers.2. Cooperate with suppliers on environmental issues to help implement environmental requirements and sustainable business processes.3. Increase energy use efficiency in lighting and production support systems through the efficient design of warehouse buildings and production areas.
Make	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Routine air emission measurements every time the production process ends.2. Obtain information on MSDM (Material Sheet data System) for handling raw materials from suppliers.3. Carry out routine daily inspections of hazardous waste storage.4. Benchmarking similar companies with better environmental management quality.5. Production scheduling considers the smallest possible energy requirements.
Deliver	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Using efficient and environmentally friendly packaging.2. Planning the distribution so that it can take place optimally and effectively, which consists of route scheduling, route optimization, optimized shipments, and carrier selection to increase the efficiency of vehicle fuel use.3. Choose a distributor company that has no record of violating environmental regulations.4. The use of environmentally friendly alternative fuels in vehicles
Return	Maximizing product transport capacity at the time of product recall from consumers to reduce excess fuel consumption.

Our study recommends to upstream textile managers how to improve their green supply chain performance in the future. By implementing these recommendations, companies will benefit from resource sustainability, carbon footprint reduction, cost savings, regulatory compliance, enhanced brand value, employee morale and motivation, and competitive advantage (Panpatil, Lahane, et al., 2023; Chopra et al., 2022; S. A. Khan et al., 2020; Bulsara et al., 2016). First, we recommend investing in green supply chain management practices based on the Green SCOR Best Practices to Improve the Performance of green supply chain for upstream sector textile companies in Indonesia, as shown in Table 8. Second, managers should continuously measure the company's green supply chain performance to evaluate the level of achievement of performance targets and make improvements based on the evaluation results.



5 CONCLUSIONS

The design of supply chain performance measurement metrics with the Green Supply Chain Operations Reference Approach produced in this study has been adequately used to measure the reliability, responsiveness, flexibility, and environmental dimensions in each SCOR Level 1 process in upstream sector textile companies in Indonesia. Although the overall value of supply chain performance in upstream textile companies in Indonesia can be classified as “Good”, there are still opportunities for improvement to enhance future performance. The most significant improvements are in planning, sourcing, making, delivering, and returning using Green SCOR's best practices in the textile industry.

This research has limitations that provide recommendations for future research. First, the design of supply chain performance measurement metrics can be developed on the financial aspects of the company so that it can provide a more comprehensive picture of the company's supply chain performance. Secondly, the measurement application is extended by including companies in the medium textile industry that produces fabrics and the downstream textile industry that produces garments, thus providing a complete picture of the textile industry.

REFERENCES

- APICS. (2017). Supply Chain Operations Reference Model - SCOR Version 12.0. Supply Chain Operations Management.
- Assumpção, J. J., Campos, L. M. S., Vazquez-Brust, D. A., & M. Carvalho, M. (2023). The orchestration of green supply chain management practices to enable performance measurement and evaluation. *Production Planning and Control*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09537287.2023.2214526>
- Behn, R. D. (2003). Why Measure Performance? Different Purposes Require Different Measures. In *Public Administration Review* (Vol. 63, Issue 5). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1540-6210.00322>
- Bui, T. D., Tseng, J. W., Aminah, H., Sulistiawan, J., Ali, M. H., & Tseng, M. L. (2023). Causality of total resource management in circular supply chain implementation under uncertainty: a context of textile industry in Indonesia. *Annals of Operations Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10479-023-05200-0>
- Bulsara, H. P., Qureshi, M. N., & Patel, H. (2016). Green supply chain performance measurement: An exploratory study. *International Journal of Logistics Systems and Management*, 23(4). <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJLSM.2016.075210>
- Castillo-Suárez, L. A., Sierra-Sánchez, A. G., Linares-Hernández, I., Martínez-Miranda, V., & Teutli-Sequeira, E. A. (2023). A critical review of textile industry wastewater: green



- technologies for the removal of indigo dyes. In *International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology* (Vol. 20, Issue 9). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13762-023-04810-2>
- Cazeri, G. T., Anholon, R., Ordoñez, R. E. C., & Novaski, O. (2017). PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT OF GREEN SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT: A LITERATURE REVIEW AND GAPS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH. *Brazilian Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 14(1). <https://doi.org/10.14488/bjopm.2017.v14.n1.a7>
- Chopra, A., Golwala, D., & Chopra, A. R. (2022). SCOR (SUPPLY CHAIN OPERATIONS REFERENCE) MODEL IN TEXTILE INDUSTRY. *Journal of Southwest Jiaotong University*, 57(1). <https://doi.org/10.35741/issn.0258-2724.57.1.33>
- Elgazzar, S., Tipi, N., & Jones, G. (2019). Key characteristics for designing a supply chain performance measurement system. In *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management* (Vol. 68, Issue 2). <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPPM-04-2018-0147>
- Eltayeb, T. K., Zailani, S., & Ramayah, T. (2011). Green supply chain initiatives among certified companies in Malaysia and environmental sustainability: Investigating the outcomes. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 55(5). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2010.09.003>
- Herrmann, F. F., Barbosa-Povoa, A. P., Butturi, M. A., Marinelli, S., & Sellitto, M. A. (2021). Green supply chain management: Conceptual framework and models for analysis. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 13(15). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13158127>
- Huang, S. H., & Keskar, H. (2007). Comprehensive and configurable metrics for supplier selection. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 105(2). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2006.04.020>
- Khan, M., Ajmal, M. M., Jabeen, F., Talwar, S., & Dhir, A. (2023). Green supply chain management in manufacturing firms: A resource-based viewpoint. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 32(4). <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.3207>
- Khan, S. A., Chaabane, A., & Dweiri, F. (2020). Supply chain performance measurement systems: A qualitative review and proposed conceptual framework. In *International Journal of Industrial and Systems Engineering* (Vol. 34, Issue 1). <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJISE.2020.104315>
- Kumar, S., Chattopadhyaya, S., & Sharma, V. (2012). Green Supply Chain Management : A Case Study from Indian Electrical and Electronics Industry. *International Journal of Soft Computing and Engineering*, 1(6).
- Li, G., Guo, Y., Jiang, H., Kong, L., Zhou, Y., & Wang, W. (2023). Green ship evaluation based on improved AHP-FCE-ODM model from the perspective of shipbuilding supply chain. *International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13675567.2023.2213659>
- Panpatil, S. S., Lahane, S., & Kant, R. (2023). Performance measurement framework of green supply chain implementation in the context of Indian manufacturing organizations. *Journal*



- of *Advances in Management Research*, 20(4). <https://doi.org/10.1108/JAMR-08-2022-0174>
- Panpatil, S. S., Prajapati, H., & Kant, R. (2023). Analysing a GSCM Enabler–Based Model for Implementation of Its Practices: a Pythagorean Fuzzy AHP and CoCoSo Approach. *Process Integration and Optimization for Sustainability*, 7(3). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41660-022-00289-5>
- Payet, J. (2021). Assessment of carbon footprint for the textile sector in France. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 13(5). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13052422>
- Petljak, K., Zulauf, K., Štulec, I., Seuring, S., & Wagner, R. (2018). Green supply chain management in food retailing: survey-based evidence in Croatia. *Supply Chain Management*, 23(1). <https://doi.org/10.1108/SCM-04-2017-0133>
- Pulansari, F., & Putri, A. (2020). Green Supply Chain Operation Reference (Green SCOR) Performance Evaluation (Case Study: Steel Company). *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1569(3). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1569/3/032006>
- Rosyidah, M., Khoirunnisa, N., Rofiatin, U., Asnah, A., Andiyan, A., & Sari, D. (2022). Measurement of key performance indicator Green Supply Chain Management (GSCM) in palm industry with green SCOR model. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 63. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2022.03.158>
- Saen, R. F., & Izadikhah, M. (2022). A novel SCOR approach to assess the sustainability of supply chains. *Operations Management Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12063-022-00331-2>
- Sugeng, Rohman, A. N., Romasindah, W., & S, S. (2022). Regulatory and Policy Arrangement of The Textile Industry and National Textile Products for Clothing Resilience. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*, 06(09). <https://doi.org/10.47772/ijriss.2022.6901>
- Suradi, Mahrinasari, M. S., & Hasnawati, S. (2020). The mediating effect of strategic agility in the relationship of supply chain management activities and firm performance of the textile industry of Indonesia. *International Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 9(3).
- Tundys, B., & Wiśniewski, T. (2018). The selected method and tools for performance measurement in the green supply chain-survey analysis in Poland. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 10(2). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10020549>
- Zhou, Z. (2022). Green Supply Chain Management Model of e-Commerce Enterprises Based on SCOR Model. *Mobile Information Systems*, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/3191317>